arXiv:1405.4611v3 [math.OA] 17 Feb 2015

ADDITIVITY OF MAPS PRESERVING PRODUCTS $AP \pm PA^*$ ON C^*-ALGEBRAS

ALI TAGHAVI*, VAHID DARVISH AND HAMID ROHI

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two prime C^*-algebras. In this paper, we investigate the additivity of map Φ from $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathcal{B}$ that are bijective unital and satisfies

$$\Phi(AP + \lambda PA^*) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P) + \lambda\Phi(P)\Phi(A)^*,$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $P \in \{P_1, I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1\}$ where P_1 is a nontrivial projection in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \{-1, +1\}$. Then, Φ is $*$-additive.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ be rings. We say the map $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}'$ preserves product or is multiplicative if $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)\Phi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$. The question of when a product preserving or multiplicative map is additive was discussed by several authors, see [21] and references therein. Motivated by this, many authors pay more attention to the map on rings (and algebras) preserving the Lie product $[A, B] = AB - BA$ or the Jordan product $A \circ B = AB + BA$ (for example, Refs. ref [1, 2, 6, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 20, 24]). These results show that, in some sense, the Jordan product or Lie product structure is enough to determine the ring or algebraic structure. Historically, many mathematicians devoted themselves to the study of additive or linear Jordan or Lie product preservers between rings or operator algebras. Such maps are always called Jordan homomorphism or Lie homomorphism. Here we only list several results [3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 21, 22, 23].

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a $*$-ring. For $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, denoted by $A \bullet B = AB + BA^*$ and $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$, which are two different kinds of new products. This product is found playing a more and more important role in some research topics, and its study has recently attracted many author's attention (for example, see [7, 19, 25]). A natural problem is to study whether the map Φ preserving the new product on ring or algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is a ring or algebraic isomorphism. Obviously, if Φ is linear or preserving star operation, then Φ preserves this new product if and only if it preserves Lie product. Without the linearity and star-preserving

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B48, 46L10.

Key words and phrases. New product, Additive, Prime C^*-algebras.

assumptions, what will occur? In [8], J. Cui and C. K. Li proved a bijective map Φ on factor von Neumann algebras which preserves the new product $([A, B]_*)$ must be a $*$-isomorphism. Moreover, in [14] C. Li et al, discussed the nonlinear bijective mapping preserving the new product $(A \bullet B)$. They proved that such mapping on factor von Neumann algebras is also $*$-ring isomorphism. These two articles discussed new products for arbitrary operators on factor von Neumann algebras.
In this paper, we will discuss such a bijective unital map (not necessarily linear) on prime C^*-algebra which preserving both new products for which one of them is projection must be $*$-additive (i.e., additive and star-preserving).

Let $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote respectively the real field and complex field and for real part and imaginary part of an operator T we will use $\Re(T)$ and $\Im(T)$, respectively. It is well known that C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is prime, in the sense that $A\mathcal{A}B = 0$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ implies either $A = 0$ or $B = 0$.

2. Main Results

We need the following lemmas for proving our Main Theorem.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two C^*-algebras with identities and $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a unital map which satisfies $\Phi(AP - PA^*) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P) - \Phi(P)\Phi(A)^*$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and some $P \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\Phi(0) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $A = I$, we have $\Phi(0) = \Phi(IP - PI) = \Phi(I)\Phi(P) - \Phi(P)\Phi(I)^*$. Since Φ is unital, we have $\Phi(0) = 0$. □

Standard Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two C^*-algebras and $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a map which satisfies $\Phi(AP + \lambda PA^*) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P) + \lambda\Phi(P)\Phi(A)^*$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and some $P \in \mathcal{A}$ where $\lambda \in \{-1, +1\}$. Let A, B and T be in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi(T) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B)$. Then we have*

$$\Phi(TP + PT^*) = \Phi(AP + PA^*) + \Phi(BP + PB^*), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Phi(TP - PT^*) = \Phi(AP - PA^*) + \Phi(BP - PB^*). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. We will just prove the equality (2.1).
Multiply the equalities $\Phi(T) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(B)$ and $\Phi(T)^* = \Phi(A)^* + \Phi(B)^*$ by $\Phi(P)$ from the right and the left, respectively. We get

$$\Phi(T)\Phi(P) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P) + \Phi(B)\Phi(P),$$

and

$$\Phi(P)\Phi(T)^* = \Phi(P)\Phi(A)^* + \Phi(P)\Phi(B)^*.$$

By adding two equations, we have

$$\Phi(TP + PT^*) = \Phi(AP + PA^*) + \Phi(BP + PB^*).$$

□

Our main theorem is as follows:

Main Theorem. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two prime C^*-algebras with $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $I_{\mathcal{B}}$ the identities of them, respectively. If $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a bijective unital map which satisfies $\Phi(AP + \lambda PA^*) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P) + \lambda\Phi(P)\Phi(A)^*$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $P \in \{P_1, I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1\}$ where P_1 is a nontrivial projection in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \{-1, +1\}$. Then, Φ is $*$-additive.

Proof of Main Theorem. Let $P_2 = I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1$. Denote $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = P_i\mathcal{A}P_j,\ i, j = 1, 2$, then $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we may write $A = A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}$. In all that follows, when we write A_{ij}, it indicates that $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$.
For showing additivity of Φ on $\mathcal{A}$ we will use above partition of $\mathcal{A}$ and give some claims that prove Φ is additive on each $\mathcal{A}_{ij},\ i, j = 1, 2$.

Claim 1. *For every $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$ and $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, we have*

$$\Phi(A_{11} + B_{12}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}).$$

Since Φ is surjective, we can find an element $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}), \tag{2.3}$$

we should show $T = A_{11} + B_{12}$. We apply the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.3) for P_1, then we can write

$$\Phi(TP_1 + P_1T^*) = \Phi(A_{11}P_1 + P_1A_{11}^*) + \Phi(B_{12}P_1 + P_1B_{12}^*),$$

so,

$$\Phi(T_{11} + T_{21} + T_{11}^* + T_{21}^*) = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{11}^*),$$

by injectivity of Φ, we get $2\Re(T_{11} + T_{21}) = 2\Re(A_{11})$ which implies that $\Re(T_{11}) = \Re(A_{11})$ and $\Re(T_{21}) = 0$. Similarly, we apply the standard lemma (2.2) to (2.3) for P_1, we have $\Im(T_{11}) = \Im(A_{11})$ and $\Im(T_{21}) = 0$. Hence, we can say $T_{11} = A_{11}$ and $T_{21} = 0$.
Now, we apply the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.3) for P_2, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(TP_2 + P_2T^*) &= \Phi(A_{11}P_2 + P_2A_{11}^*) + \Phi(B_{12}P_2 + P_2B_{12}^*) \\ &= \Phi(B_{12} + B_{12}^*). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have $\Re(T_{12}) = \Re(B_{12})$ and $\Re(T_{22}) = 0$.
Similarly, we apply the standard lemma (2.2) to (2.3) for P_2, we will have $\Im(T_{12}) = \Im(B_{12})$ and $\Im(T_{22}) = 0$ which implie $T_{12} = B_{12}$ and $T_{22} = 0$. So, $T = A_{11} + B_{12}$.

Claim 2. *For every $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $B_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$, we have*

$$\Phi(A_{12} + B_{21}) = \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(B_{21}).$$

Let $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(B_{21}). \tag{2.4}$$

By applying the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.4) for P_1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(TP_1 + P_1T^*) &= \Phi(A_{12}P_1 + P_1A_{12}^*) + \Phi(B_{21}P_1 + P_1B_{21}^*) \\ &= \Phi(B_{21} + B_{21}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Phi(2\Re(T_{11} + T_{21})) = \Phi(2\Re(B_{21}))$ which implies $\Re(T_{21}) = \Re(B_{21})$ and $\Re(T_{11}) = 0$.
Similarly, we can obtain $\Im(T_{21}) = \Im(B_{21})$ and $\Im(T_{11}) = 0$ by applying (2.2) to (2.4) for P_1.
Now, we apply the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.4) for P_2, it follows

$$\Phi(TP_2 + P_2T^*) = \Phi(A_{12}P_2 + P_2A_{12}^*) + \Phi(B_{21}P_2 + P_2B_{21}^*) = \Phi(A_{12} + A_{12}^*).$$

We have $\Phi(2\Re(T_{12}+T_{22})) = \Phi(2\Re(A_{12}))$, then $\Re(T_{12}) = \Re(A_{12})$ and $\Re(T_{22}) = 0$. In a similar way, by applying the standard lemma (2.2) to (2.4) for P_2, we can obtain $\Im(T_{12}) = \Im(A_{12})$ and $\Im(T_{22}) = 0$. Therefore we have the result.

Claim 3. *For every $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ such that $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$, we have*

$$\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}).$$

Let $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}). \tag{2.5}$$

By applying the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.5) for P_i, we get

$$\Phi(TP_i + P_iT^*) = \Phi(A_{ij}P_i + P_iA_{ij}^*) + \Phi(B_{ij}P_i + P_iB_{ij}^*) = \Phi(0) = 0,$$

therefore, $\Phi(T_{ii} + T_{ji} + T_{ii}^* + T_{ji}^*) = 0$. So $\Re(T_{ii}) = \Re(T_{ji}) = 0$. Similarly, by using standard lemma (2.2) for P_i, we can obtain $\Im(T_{ii}) = \Im(T_{ji}) = 0$. Hence $T_{ii} = T_{ji} = 0$.
Now, we apply standard lemma (2.1) to (2.5) for P_j again, by Claim 2, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(TP_j + P_jT^*) &= \Phi(A_{ij}P_j + P_jA_{ij}^*) + \Phi(B_{ij}P_j + P_jB_{ij}^*) \\ &= \Phi(A_{ij} + A_{ij}^*) + \Phi(B_{ij} + B_{ij}^*) \\ &= \Phi(2\Re(A_{ij})) + \Phi(2\Re(B_{ij}^*)) \\ &= \Phi(2\Re(A_{ij}) + 2\Re(B_{ij}^*)) \\ &= \Phi(2\Re(A_{ij}) + 2\Re(B_{ij})). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have $\Phi(T_{ij}+T_{jj}+T_{ij}^*+T_{jj}^*) = \Phi(2\Re(A_{ij}+B_{ij}))$, or $\Re(T_{ij}) = \Re(A_{ij}+B_{ij})$ and $\Re(T_{jj}) = 0$.
Similarly, we can obtain $\Im(T_{ij}) = \Im(A_{ij} + B_{ij})$ and $\Im(T_{jj}) = 0$. Then $T_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij}$.

Claim 4. *For every $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$, we have*

$$\Phi(A_{11} + C_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(C_{21}).$$

Let $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22} \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(C_{21}). \tag{2.6}$$

By applying the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.6) for P_1 and using Claim 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(TP_1 + P_1T^*) &= \Phi(A_{11}P_1 + P_1A_{11}^*) + \Phi(C_{21}P_1 + P_1C_{21}^*)\\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + A_{11}^*) + \Phi(C_{21} + C_{21}^*)\\ &= \Phi(2\Re(A_{11})) + \Phi(2\Re(C_{21}^*))\\ &= \Phi(2\Re(A_{11}) + 2\Re(C_{21})).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Phi(2\Re(T_{11}) + 2\Re(T_{21})) = \Phi(2\Re(A_{11}) + 2\Re(C_{21}))$ which implies $\Re(T_{11}) = \Re(A_{11})$ and $\Re(T_{21}) = \Re(C_{21})$. Similarly, we apply the standard lemma (2.2) to (2.6) for P_1, we have $\Im(T_{11}) = \Im(A_{11})$ and $\Im(T_{21}) = \Im(C_{21})$. So, $T_{11} = A_{11}$ and $T_{21} = C_{21}$.
Now, by applying the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.6) for P_2, it follows

$$\Phi(TP_2 + P_2T^*) = \Phi(A_{11}P_2 + P_2A_{11}^*) + \Phi(C_{21}P_2 + P_2C_{21}^*).$$

We have $\Phi(2\Re(T_{12}) + 2\Re(T_{22})) = 0$, or $\Re(T_{12}) = \Re(T_{22}) = 0$. Similarly, we can obtain $\Im(T_{12}) = \Im(T_{22}) = 0$ by using the standard lemma (2.2) to (2.6) for P_2. Then $T_{12} = T_{22} = 0$, so we proved $T = A_{11} + C_{21}$.

Note that $\Phi(B_{12} + D_{22}) = \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(D_{22})$ where $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ and $D_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ can be obtained as above.

Claim 5. *For every $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ and $D_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ we have*

$$\Phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22}).$$

Assume $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$ which satisfies in

$$\Phi(T) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(B_{12}) + \Phi(C_{21}) + \Phi(D_{22}). \tag{2.7}$$

By using the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.7) for P_1 and Claim 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(TP_1 + P_1T^*) &= \Phi(A_{11}P_1 + P_1A_{11}^*) + \Phi(B_{12}P_1 + P_1B_{12}^*)\\ &\quad +\Phi(C_{21}P_1 + P_1C_{21}^*) + \Phi(D_{22}P_1 + P_1D_{22}^*)\\ &= \Phi(2\Re(A_{11}) + 2\Re(C_{21})).\end{aligned}$$

It follows $2\Re(T_{11}) + 2\Re(T_{21}) = 2\Re(A_{11}) + 2\Re(C_{21})$, hence $\Re(T_{11}) = \Re(A_{11})$ and $\Re(T_{21}) = \Re(C_{21})$.

Also, one can obtain $\Im(T_{11}) = \Im(A_{11})$ and $\Im(T_{21}) = \Im(C_{21})$ by (2.2). Now, we apply the standard lemma (2.1) to (2.7) for P_2, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(TP_2 + P_2T^*) &= \Phi(A_{11}P_2 + P_2A_{11}^*) + \Phi(B_{12}P_2 + P_2B_{12}^*) \\ &\quad +\Phi(C_{21}P_2 + P_2C_{21}^*) + \Phi(D_{22}P_2 + P_2D_{22}^*) \\ &= \Phi(2\Re(B_{12}) + 2\Re(D_{22})).\end{aligned}$$

which implies $2\Re(T_{12}) + 2\Re(T_{22}) = 2\Re(B_{12}) + 2\Re(D_{22})$, therefore $\Re(T_{12}) = \Re(B_{12})$ and $\Re(T_{22}) = \Re(D_{22})$. Similarly, we can obtain $\Im(T_{12}) = \Im(B_{12})$ and $\Im(T_{22}) = \Im(D_{22})$ by the standard lemma (2.2). So, we proved $T = A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Φ satisfy the assumptions of the Main Theorem. Then, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have the following*

$$\Phi(AI + \lambda IA^*) = \Phi(A)\Phi(I) + \lambda\Phi(I)\Phi(A)^*,$$

where $\lambda \in \{-1, +1\}$.

Proof. By Claim 3 and Claim 5, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(AI + \lambda IA^*) &= \Phi(A(P_1 + P_2) + \lambda(P_1 + P_2)A^*) \\ &= \Phi(AP_1 + AP_2 + \lambda P_1A^* + \lambda P_2A^*) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + A_{21} + A_{12} + A_{22} + \lambda A_{11}^* + \lambda A_{21}^* + \lambda A_{12}^* + \lambda A_{22}^*) \\ &= \Phi((A_{11} + \lambda A_{11}^*) + (A_{12} + \lambda A_{21}^*) + (A_{21} + \lambda A_{12}^*) + (A_{22} + \lambda A_{22}^*)) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \lambda A_{11}^*) + \Phi(A_{12} + \lambda A_{21}^*) + \Phi(A_{21} + \lambda A_{12}^*) \\ &\quad +\Phi(A_{22} + \lambda A_{22}^*) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \lambda A_{11}^*) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(\lambda A_{21}^*) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(\lambda A_{12}^*) \\ &\quad +\Phi(A_{22} + \lambda A_{22}^*) \\ &= \Phi(A_{11} + \lambda A_{11}^* + A_{21} + \lambda A_{21}^*) + \Phi(A_{22} + \lambda A_{22}^* + A_{12} + \lambda A_{12}^*) \\ &= \Phi(AP_1 + \lambda P_1A^*) + \Phi(AP_2 + \lambda P_2A^*) \\ &= \Phi(A)\Phi(P_1) + \lambda\Phi(P_1)\Phi(A)^* + \Phi(A)\Phi(P_2) + \lambda\Phi(P_2)\Phi(A)^* \\ &= \Phi(A)(\Phi(P_1) + \Phi(P_2)) + \lambda(\Phi(P_1) + \Phi(P_2))\Phi(A)^* \\ &= \Phi(A)\Phi(I) + \lambda\Phi(I)\Phi(A)^*\end{aligned}$$

□

Note 2.4. *By above lemma, we can write the following*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(2\Re(A)) &= \Phi(AI + IA^*) \\ &= \Phi(A)\Phi(I) + \Phi(I)\Phi(A)^* \\ &= \Phi(A) + \Phi(A)^* \\ &= 2\Re(\Phi(A)).\end{aligned}$$

And similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(2i\Im(A)) &= \Phi(AI - IA^*)\\ &= \Phi(A)\Phi(I) - \Phi(I)\Phi(A)^*\\ &= \Phi(A) - \Phi(A)^*\\ &= 2i\Im(\Phi(A)).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let Φ satisfy the assumptions of the Main Theorem, we have the following*

$$\Phi(AP_i) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P_i), \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\Phi(P_iA) = \Phi(P_i)\Phi(A), \tag{2.9}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2$.

Proof. We only prove the equation (2.8).
Let $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} A_{ij}$, by assumption of the Main Theorem together, we have

$$\Phi(AP_i + P_iA^*) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P_i) + \Phi(P_i)\Phi(A)^*,$$

$$\Phi(AP_i - P_iA^*) = \Phi(A)\Phi(P_i) - \Phi(P_i)\Phi(A)^*.$$

Add these two equations together, by Claim 2, Claim 4 and Note 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}2\Phi(A)\Phi(P_i) &= \Phi(AP_i + P_iA^*) + \Phi(AP_i - P_iA^*)\\ &= \Phi(A_{ii} + A_{ji} + A_{ii}^* + A_{ji}^*) + \Phi(A_{ii} + A_{ji} - A_{ii}^* - A_{ji}^*)\\ &= \Phi(A_{ii} + A_{ii}^*) + \Phi(A_{ji} + A_{ji}^*) + \Phi(A_{ii} - A_{ii}^*)\\ &\quad +\Phi(A_{ji} - A_{ji}^*)\\ &= \Phi(2\Re(A_{ii})) + \Phi(2i\Im(A_{ii})) + \Phi(A_{ji}) + \Phi(A_{ji}^*)\\ &\quad +\Phi(A_{ji}) - \Phi(A_{ji}^*)\\ &= 2\Re(\Phi(A_{ii})) + 2i\Im(\Phi(A_{ii})) + 2\Phi(A_{ji})\\ &= 2\Phi(A_{ii} + A_{ji})\\ &= 2\Phi(AP_i).\end{aligned}$$

□

Claim 6. *Φ preserves projections P_i $(i = 1, 2)$ in both directions.*

Let P_i be projections, we have

$$2\Phi(P_i) = \Phi(I)\Phi(P_i) + \Phi(P_i)\Phi(I)^* = \Phi(IP_i + P_iI^*) = \Phi(2P_i).$$

Also,

$$\Phi(2P_i) = \Phi(P_i + P_i^*) = \Phi(P_i)\Phi(I) + \Phi(I)\Phi(P_i)^*,$$

we can write $2\Phi(P_i) = \Phi(P_i) + \Phi(P_i)^*$. So, $\Phi(P_i) = \frac{\Phi(P_i)+\Phi(P_i)^*}{2}$. Let $Q_i = \frac{\Phi(P_i)+\Phi(P_i)^*}{2}$, therefore, $\Phi(P_i) = Q_i$.
On the other hand, we have the following

$$\Phi(P_iP_i + P_iP_i^*) = \Phi(P_i)\Phi(P_i) + \Phi(P_i)\Phi(P_i)^*.$$

From above equation, we have $\Phi(2P_i) = 2Q_i^2$. So, $2\Phi(P_i) = \Phi(2P_i) = 2Q_i^2$, hence we can say $Q_i = Q_i^2$.
Conversely, assume that $\Phi(P_i)$ is a projection. Since Φ^{-1} has the same property as Φ has, a similar discussion implies that P_i is a projection.

Now, Claim 6 ensures that there exist nontrivial projections Q_i $(i = 1, 2)$ such that $\Phi(P_i) = Q_i$. By Claim 5, $Q_1 + Q_2 = I$. We can write $\mathcal{B} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ where $\mathcal{B}_{ij} = Q_i\mathcal{B}Q_j,\ i, j = 1, 2$.

Claim 7. $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) = \mathcal{B}_{ij}$

As we assumed, let $P_i \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ and $\Phi(P_i) = Q_i \in \mathcal{B}_{ii}$. Since Φ is surjective we have $\Phi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}$. By multiplying the left and the right side of latter equation by $\Phi(P_i)$ and $\Phi(P_j)$ respectively, we have

$$\Phi(P_i)\Phi(\mathcal{A})\Phi(P_j) = \Phi(P_i)\mathcal{B}\Phi(P_j) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ij}.$$

So, $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ij}$. Similarly, we can prove $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_{ii}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ii}$, $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_{ji}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ji}$, $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_{jj}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{jj}$. For the converse, because of surjectivity there are some members in $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$ which should be covered by members of $\mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, we know that each partition can cover its corresponding partition. So, $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) = \mathcal{B}_{ij}$.

We should mention here that we imply the primeness property just in this claim.

Claim 8. *For every $A_{ii}, B_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$ we have*

$$\Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii}).$$

First, we will prove that $\Phi(AP_i+BP_i) = \Phi(AP_i)+\Phi(BP_i)$ for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 2.5, Claim 3 and for every $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $i \neq j$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(T_{ij})\Phi(AP_i + BP_i) &= \Phi(P_iT)\Phi(P_j)\Phi(AP_i + BP_i) \\
&= \Phi(P_iT)\Phi(P_jAP_i + P_jBP_i) \\
&= \Phi(P_iT)\Phi(A_{ji} + B_{ji}) \\
&= \Phi(P_iT)\Phi(A_{ji}) + \Phi(P_iT)\Phi(B_{ji}) \\
&= \Phi(P_iTP_j)\Phi(AP_i) + \Phi(P_iTP_j)\Phi(BP_i) \\
&= \Phi(T_{ij})(\Phi(AP_i) + \Phi(BP_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the primeness of $\mathcal{B}$ and Claim 7, we have

$$\Phi(AP_i + BP_i) = \Phi(AP_i) + \Phi(BP_i). \tag{2.10}$$

Now, multiply the left side of equation (2.10) by $\Phi(P_i)$ and use Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\Phi(P_iAP_i + P_iBP_i) = \Phi(P_iAP_i) + \Phi(P_iBP_i).$$

So, additivity of Φ comes from Claim 3, 5, 8.

Since Φ is additive, we have

$$\Phi(A + A^*) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(A^*),$$

also, by (2.1), it follows

$$\Phi(A + A^*) = \Phi(A) + \Phi(A)^*.$$

So, by two equations we can write $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*$.

References

[1] Bai, Z.F.— Du, S.P.: *Multiplicative Lie isomorphism between prime rings*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), 1626-1633.

[2] BAI, Z.F.— DU, S.P.: *Multiplicative $*$-Lie isomorphism between factors*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **346** (2008), 327-335.

[3] BEIDAR, K.I.— BREŠAR, M.— CHEBOTAR, M.A.— MARTINDALE, W.S.: *On Hersteins Lie map conjecture (I)*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 4235-4260.

[4] BEIDAR, K.I.— BREŠAR, M.— CHEBOTAR, M.A.— MARTINDALE, W.S.: *On Hersteins Lie map conjecture (II)*, J. Algebra **238** (2001), 239-264.

[5] BEIDAR, K.I.— BREŠAR, M.— CHEBOTAR, M.A.— MARTINDALE, W.S.: *On Hersteins Lie map conjecture (III)*, J. Algebra **238** (2002), 59-94.

[6] BREŠAR, M.: *Jordan mappings of semiprime rings*, J. Algebra **127** (1989), 218-228.

[7] BREŠAR, M.— FONER, A.: *On ring with involution equipped with some new product*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **57** (2000), 121-134.

[8] CUI, J.— LI, C.K.: *Maps preserving product $XY-YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), 833-842.

[9] HAKEDA, J.: *Additivity of Jordan $*$-maps on AW*-algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 413-420.

[10] HERSTEIN, I.N.: *Jordan homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1956), 331-341.

[11] JACOBSON, N.— RICKART, C.E.: *Jordan homomorphism of rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 479-502.

[12] JI, P.— LIU, Z.: *Additivity of Jordan maps on standard Jordan operator algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **430** (2009), 335-343.

[13] KADISON, R.V.: *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. **54** (1951), 325-338.

[14] LI, C.— LU, F.— FANG, X.: *Nonlinear mappings preserving product $XY + YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013), 2339-2345.

[15] LU, F.: *Additivity of Jordan maps on standard operator algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **357** (2002), 123-131.

[16] LU, F.: *Jordan maps on associative algebras*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 2273-2286.

[17] LU, F.: *Jordan triple maps*, Linear Algebra Appl. **375** (2003), 311-317.

[18] MARCOUX, L.W.: *Lie isomorphism of nest algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **164** (1999), 163-180.

[19] MOLNÁR, L.: *A condition for a subspace of B(H) to be an ideal*, Linear Algebra Appl. **235** (1996), 229-234.
[20] MOLNÁR, L.: *On isomorphisms of standard operator algebras*, Studia Math. **142** (2000), 295-302.
[21] MARTINDALE III, W.S.: *When are multiplicative mappings additive?* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 695-698.
[22] MIRES, C.R.: *Lie isomorphisms of operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971) 717-735.
[23] MIRES, C.R.: *Lie isomorphisms of factors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (1970), 5-63.
[24] QI, X.— HOU, J.: *Additivity of Lie multiplicative maps on triangular algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **59** (2011), 391-397.
[25] ŠEMRL, P.: *Quadratic functionals and Jordan $*$-derivations*, Studia Math. **97** (1991), 157-165.

* Department of Mathematics,, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,, University of Mazandaran,, P. O. Box 47416-1468,, Babolsar, Iran.
E-mail address: taghavi@umz.ac.ir, v.darvish@stu.umz.ac.ir,h.rohi@stu.umz.ac.ir